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Employment in the Digital Age

- **Problem:** Workers with disabilities are typically
  - older than other workers,
  - work fewer hours per week,
  - less likely to have a college degree,
  - more likely in low-growth, low-wage occupations

- The potential of the digital age often still seems distant for people with disabilities

- Are new technologies bridges or barriers to employment?
Georgia Tech explored employment possibilities of key digital technologies – called “vectors”:

- Wireless communication platforms
- Social networks
- Immersive digital environments, including virtual worlds and tiered digital interactions, such as electronic games
- Open publishing
- Open source processes
Key Finding: Social Capital

- Both finding and doing work depend on social capital, on networks of empowering relationships.
- People with disabilities often lack this important element of social capital.
- Digital technology and new media are changing how people access social capital, social networks, and the new employment environment.
Areas of Employment Growth

- Employment potential of the information economy
- Vectors seen as avenues to work
- “Occupations of interest,” in areas of business and employment growth, include
  - mobile broadband,
  - social networking,
  - “serious gaming” and “tiered digital interaction” (aka electronic games), and
  - open or peer publishing
Interviews with industries operating in the vector areas

- How do the vectors affect the ability to do work as well as to create or to find work?

- Vectors seen primarily as work creators

- The disability community NOT seen as a viable market, and tech industry NOT adopting universal design principles
Work revolves around interpersonal relationships and trust

Individual has to be proactive in searching for or creating employment, and staying up to date

Challenge: the disability market is seen as “niche” or outside the mainstream, not substantial enough to justify development work
User Studies - 1

- Explored perceptions and awareness of the vectors
- How vectors facilitate participation in the workplace, or potential for finding/creating work
- Three stages: (1) Focus Groups; (2) Online Social Network Groups; and (3) Delphi Study
Vectors seen differently according to disability and familiarity with a particular technology

Most familiar vector was wireless communication platforms, in particular smart phones

Users felt strongly about telework

Vectors that allowed control of interactions or information (social media) or had reference utility (open publishing) seen as most useful
Networks more important for people with disabilities than for general population
  - social capital that underpins networks weaker
  - matching between employer and potential employee less effective

Exception: The young are much closer to the non-disabled in terms of internet access

Above 30 years-old, less likely to socialize than general population. Ages 18-29 gap is non-existent
Findings: Unemployment Problem - 2

- Why high unemployment? Assumption that people with disabilities are at a competitive disadvantage (= human capital)

- Need to look at ways that job opportunity and job seeker match (= social capital)

- As knowledge generated largely through social interaction, social capital ("know-who") may become more significant than human capital ("know-how")
Finding 1. Necessity of education to increase awareness and technical skills

- **Recommend 1:** Tackle core issues of education with key stakeholders at the federal, state and local level.

- **Recommend 2:** Develop accessible online literacy curriculum aimed at people with intellectual disabilities in conjunction with family, self-advocate and service-provider groups.
Finding 2. There are significant barriers to making a dispersed workforce a reality.

- **Recommend 3:** Address issues of Internet access as a critical component of the vectors.

- **Recommend 4:** Explore industry partnerships to address cost, for example, by providing in-kind services, devices, or partnerships to minimize cost to the end-user.

- **Recommend 5:** Monitor and contribute to federal and state legislative and regulatory language with regard to assistive technology (AT) and meta-design and develop a standardized instrument to measure AT outcomes.
Finding 3. The vectors may offer pathways to employment, enhancing proactive social interaction, building social capital, led by the young

- **Recommend 6: Develop a social-media campaign** directed at people with disabilities between ages 15 and 30
  - an advisory board of the 15-30 target audience;
  - collecting/disseminating success stories
  - collecting/disseminating case studies of companies who employ people with disabilities as a resource; and
  - collecting evidence-based best practices
Recommend 7: Explore the possibility of four national awards modeled after the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award.

- For creative use of the vectors and other digital technology in developing new employment opportunities
- To recognize employment creation
- A "Design for Ability" award, for a design management system with a commitment to universal design (UD) principles
- An "Entrepreneur with Disabilities", to recognize original developments of "computer-supported collaborative work"
Finding 4. The disability community needs to expand efforts to enhance awareness of the presence, capacities, and potential of people with disabilities.

- **Recommend 8:** Advocate for people with disabilities as an untapped resource and as a market, using traditional as well as social-media channels.

- **Recommend 9:** Create discussion forums focused on the potential of the market that people with disabilities represent.
Finding 5. Social, technological, attitudinal barriers exist to raising awareness of the potential of the new networked economy among people with disabilities

Recommend 10: An information campaign focused on the potential of information technologies to create new job opportunities

- The Department of Labor (ODEP) to lead an industry partnership featuring businesses involved in the vectors (such as Google, IBM, Facebook).
- Campaign to be centered on a major job fair, moving different major urban centers, focusing on the job potential of the networked economy
Finding 6. Encourage the adoption of meta-design approaches

- Recommend 11: Conduct hearings with business and industry representatives

- Recommend 12: The National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) to solicit input on the importance of research and development of meta-design applications as part of its focus on universal design for all government-funded projects.
Finding 7. Encourage entrepreneurs with disabilities to flourish as part of the collaborative community, and encourage development of that community.

- **Recommend 13:** Explore a programmatic initiative to encourage enhanced interagency coordination and collaboration and to build outreach efforts.

- **Recommend 14:** Create field workshops among the research, policy, and advocacy communities to expand "community-level" input into public-sector processes that affect growth of communications channels.
The Power of Digital Inclusion

- Project Team
  - James D. White, PI and lead author
  - Paul M. Baker, Co-PI
  - Robert Todd
  - Brad Fain
  - Nathan Moon
  - and a team of grad students: Carola Conces, Emily Ivey, Chris Langston and Ben Bellamy

Contact: james.white@cacp.gatech.edu
Tel: 404.385.4613